



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/725,659 | 12/02/2003 | Karin Golz-Berner | 4034.003 | 5041 |
| 30448 | 7590 | 08/19/2008 | | |
| AKERMAN SENTERFITT | | | | |
| P.O. BOX 3188 | | | | |
| WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| CHONG, YONG SOO | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1617 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 08/19/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/725,659

Applicant(s)

GOLZ-BERNER ET AL.

Examiner

YONG S. CHONG

Art Unit

1617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YONG S. CHONG.

(3) _____.

(2) MR. Greg Leftkowitz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has brought to the attention of the Examiner that the Restriction Requirement filed on 7/9/08 never was received. This is corroborated by the fact that the mail was returned to the USPTO on 7/14/08. There was an address change on 1/25/08, however the address on file is correct. It was agreed that the Restriction Requirement will be mailed out again and the time for reply restarted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yong S Chong/
Examiner, Art Unit 1617